

KROFT Jeff

From: Bernard Moore [Moore@fdfirm.com]
Sent: Monday, February 25, 2008 11:37 AM
To: Rogue River Comments
Subject: Rogue River

I support the DSL's preliminary finding that the Rogue River from mile 68.5 to 157.5 meets the federal test for navigability.

Bernard S. Moore
Attorney at Law
Frohnmayr, Deatherage et. al.
2592 East Barnett Road
Medford, OR 97504
(541) 779-2333 front desk
(541) 779-6379 fax
(541) 858-3358 direct dial
moore@fdfirm.com

This e-mail message is intended for the personal and confidential use of the individual/entity that is the named addressee. It may be an attorney-client communication and as such may contain information that is legally privileged or confidential or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, any dissemination, distribution or copying of this e-mail message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail message in error, please notify the sender and delete this e-mail message from your computer.

KROFT Jeff

From: Jack and Kathy Campbell [jkcampbell@ccountry.net]

Sent: Monday, February 25, 2008 10:42 AM

To: Rogue River Comments

Subject: Rogue River navigable

I support the DSL's preliminary finding that the Rogue River from mile 68.5 to 157.5 meets the federal test for navigability.

Jack W. Campbell

KROFT Jeff

From: Jack and Kathy Campbell [jkcampbell@ccountry.net]

Sent: Monday, February 25, 2008 10:43 AM

To: Rogue River Comments

Subject: Rogue River navigable

I support the DSL's preliminary finding that the Rogue River from mile 68.5 to 157.5 meets the federal test for navigability.

Jack W. Campbell

KROFT Jeff

From: Shems Jud [shemsjud@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, February 25, 2008 9:09 AM
To: Rogue River Comments
Subject: Rogue river navigability

I strongly support the DSL's preliminary finding that the Rogue River from mile 68.5 to 157.5 meets the federal test for navigability.

KROFT Jeff

From: Bob Hearth [bhearth@charter.net]
Sent: Monday, February 25, 2008 8:24 AM
To: Rogue River Comments
Subject: Rogue River

I would like to support finding the Rogue as is and has always been navigable . Can not believe there can be any other conclusion . Thank You, Robert Hearth, 5694 Cherry Ln , Medford

KROFT Jeff

From: Hugh O'Donnell [odonnell@verizon.net]
Date: Sunday, February 24, 2008 8:00 PM
To: Rogue River Comments
Subject: Rogue River Navigability Study

I support the DSL's preliminary finding that the Rogue River from mile 68.5 to 157.5 meets the federal test for navigability.

Hugh O'Donnell
208 SE 59th Lane
Hillsboro, OR 97123
503-640-4051

KROFT Jeff

From: Lauran Davidson [lauran.davidson@comcast.net]
Sent: Sunday, February 24, 2008 3:00 PM
To: Rogue River Comments
Subject: Re: Rogue River Navigability

Hello,

I support the DSL's preliminary finding that the Rogue River from mile 68.5 to 157.5 meets the federal test for navigability. I don't understand why this continues to come up as a question year after year. The Attorney General has very clearly stated Oregon's position on this issue. Our right as Oregonians to access our states rivers has been defended and upheld since Statehood. As we move into the twentyfirst century, please continue to defend our rights to access the Rogue as well as all other navigable waters in Oregon,

Respectfully Submitted,

Lauran Davidson
658 S. 57th St
Suite 43
Springfield, OR 97478

3/20/2008

KROFT Jeff

From: Rob Huson [rhuson@hevanet.com]
Sent: Sunday, February 24, 2008 9:47 AM
To: Rogue River Comments
Subject: Rogue River Navigability issue

Public access to Oregon's rivers & streams are an important reason and why I still live in this great state. Without access to these resources the "general public" falls victim to those landowners who choose to restrict, develop further or control large portions of riverfront, which our forefathers felt should always be accessible.

I would not be opposed to hefty fines and restrictions associated with developed campsites, etc., in an effort to work with existing landowners on this issue.

Thanks for allowing some comments on this issue.

Rob Huson
J Harding Associates
503-359-5233
503-359-5733 Fax

KROFT Jeff

From: GrowingRocks@aol.com
Sent: Wednesday, February 20, 2008 10:55 AM
To: KROFT Jeff
Cc: ptaylor39@yahoo.com; ebchance@earthlink.net; sinko@earthlink.net
Subject: Rogue River Navigability Study

Hello Jeff:

Your help in clarifying whether the meander of the Rogue River or other changes in the course of the river since 1859 impact the boundaries of public ownership of the submerged and submersible land will be sincerely appreciated.

Will public ownership of the submerged and submersible land be limited to the current beds and banks of the Rogue River?

Will the public own the submerged and submersible land where the Rogue River flowed in 1859, if the Rogue River is declared navigable?

If so and the land I currently hold title to is determined to have been submerged in 1859, will I lose my property to the State of Oregon without compensation?

Also, would the reverse be true that current riverbed that was not submerged or submersible in 1859 could be privately owned?

Thank you,
Edna Moore
Gold Hill, OR 97525

Delicious ideas to please the pickiest eaters. [Watch the video on AOL Living.](#)

KROFT Jeff

From: Rhonda54@aol.com
Sent: Wednesday, February 20, 2008 7:15 PM
To: KROFT Jeff
Subject: what are my rights

i own land that goes to the river and i irrigate....my neighbor also owns land to the river. the same neighbor has an easement on my property to water their yard with a small pump.

the problem is.....they want to drive their 4 wheeler below the high water mark from their property and drive below the high water mark on my property and then drive onto my property to use their irrigation pump.

they are saying they can do this because of the navigation law that may go into effect.

can they do this? and will they be able to do this if this navigation law goes into effect?

thank you for any help

rhonda

Delicious ideas to please the pickiest eaters. [Watch the video on AOL Living.](#)